UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,076	05/13/2005	Diane Elsie Hall	BP9861-00	1916
4249 CAROL WILSO	7590 08/24/200 <b>ON</b>	EXAMINER		
BP AMERICA		GOLOBOY, JAMES C		
MAIL CODE 5 EAST 4101 WINFIELD ROAD WARRENVILLE, IL 60555			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Carol.Wilson@bp.com Carol.Neth@bp.com bppatentus@bp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,076	HALL, DIANE ELSIE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Goloboy	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16,25,26,33-39,41 and 53 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16, 25-26, 33-39, 41, 53 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.  cted.  /or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sec	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/535,076 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment filed 6/4/09 overcomes the rejections set forth in the office action mailed 12/10/08 as the composition recited in the amended claims comprises ZDDP as a required component while the composition of Chamberlin is ZDDP-free.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on 6/4/09 and 6/18/09 have been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 16, 25-26, 33-39, 41, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchie (U.S. PG Pub. No. 2004/0048753) in view of Cooper in light of the evidence provided by Twigg.

In paragraph 1 Ritchie discloses lubricating oil compositions for diesel engines, and in paragraph 15 and the reference's claim 17 discloses that the oils can be used in heavy duty diesel engines, as recited in claim 16. In paragraph 145 Ricthie discloses that the sulfur content of the oil is most preferably less than 0.15% by weight, within the

Art Unit: 1797

range recited in claims 16 and 33-35. In paragraphs 113-115 Ritchie discloses that the composition comprises ZDDP as an antiwear agent, and is present in an amount providing 0.02 to 0.12% by weight of zinc, implying a concentration range for ZDDP overlapping the ranges recited in claims 16 and 53. In paragraph 14 Ritchie discloses that the diesel fuel used in the heavy duty diesel engine most preferably has a sulfur content of less than 25 ppm, within the range recited in claim 16 and overlapping the ranges recited in claims 25-26. In paragraph 112 Ritchie discloses that the composition includes additional additives as recited in claims 16 and 39. In paragraphs 120-125 Ritchie discloses that the composition can comprise organic friction compounds or molybdenum compounds as recited in claim 36. In paragraphs 116-117 Ritchie discloses that the composition can contain phenolic and amine antioxidants, as recited in claim 37. In paragraph 38 Ritchie discloses that the composition can contain a salicylate detergent, meeting the limitations of claims 36 and 38.

Ritchie does not disclose a heavy duty diesel engine further equipped with the claimed particulate trap.

Cooper, in column 1 lines 4-56, discloses a particulate trap for diesel engines which comprises a filter and a catalyst. In column 2 lines 16-21, Cooper discloses that the trap contains a platinum or other platinum group metal oxidation catalyst. The trap of Cooper therefore meets the limitations of the trap of claim 16. The results shown in Figure 4 and discussed in column 10 lines 19-45 show that the trap is useful in heavy duty diesel engines, such as those of Ritchie.

While Cooper does not refer to the trap as a continuously regenerating trap, Twigg, in column 1 lines 25-36, refers to the trap of Cooper as a continuously regenerating trap. The use of the trap of Cooper in the heavy duty diesel engine of Ritchie therefore meets the limitations of claim 16.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the trap of Cooper in the heavy duty diesel engine in the method of Ritchie, as Cooper teaches that the trap provides superior performance in removing particulates from heavy duty diesel exhaust streams. As the method of Ritchie in view of Cooper in light of the evidence provided by Twigg performs all the steps of the claimed method, it will meet the limitations regarding the reduction of nucleation mode particles recited in claims 16 and 41.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Ritchie teaches a method of lubricating a heavy duty diesel engine with a composition comprising ZDDP.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is (571)272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,076 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JCG** 

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797